arXiv:1503.07977v1 [math-ph] 27 Mar 2015

Giambelli type formulae in the BKP hierarchy

Yoko Shigyo*
Department of Mathematics, Tsuda College,
Kodaira, Tokyo, 187-8577, Japan

Abstract

In this paper, we study Giambelli type formula in the KP and the BKP hierarchies. Any formal power series $\tau(x)$ can be expanded by the Schur functions. It is known that $\tau(x)$ with $\tau(0)=1$ is a solution of the KP hierarchy if and only if the coefficients of this expansion satisfy Giambelli type formula. It is proved by using Sato's theory of the KP hierarchy. Here we give an alternative proof based on the previously established results on the equivalence of the addition formulae and the KP hierarchy without using Sato's theory. This method of the proof can also be applied to the case of the BKP hierarchy.

1 Introduction

Let $\chi_\lambda(x)$, $x=(x_1,x_2,\cdots)$ be the Schur function corresponding to a partition $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_l)$. It is known that a formal power series $\tau(x)$ can be expanded in terms of the Schur functions as

$$\tau(x)=\sum_{\lambda}\xi_\lambda\chi_\lambda(x),\ \xi_\lambda=\chi_\lambda(\tilde{\partial})\tau(x)|_{x=0}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\tilde{\partial}=(\tilde{\partial}_1,\tilde{\partial}_2,\tilde{\partial}_3,\cdots),\ \tilde{\partial}_n=\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.$$

If $\tau(x)$ is a solution of the KP hierarchy with $\tau(0)=1$, then the coefficients $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ satisfy Giambelli type formula for any λ:

$$\xi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}=\det(\xi_{(k_i|l_j)})_{1\le i,j\le n}, \tag{2}$$

where $(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)$ is the Frobenius notation of the partition λ. In fact the converse is true. Namely if (2) is satisfied for any partition, then the function $\tau(x)$ given by (1) with $\tau(0)=1$ is a solution of the KP hierarchy. This result had been proved using Sato's theory of the KP hierarchy [3, 9]. Here we prove a similar result for the BKP hierarchy [2]. In the case of the BKP hierarchy we need the Schur's Q- functions instead of the Schur functions.

Let $Q_\lambda(x)$, $x=(x_1,x_3,\cdots)$ be the Schur's Q-function corresponding to a strict partition $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_l)$ (see 3.1 for the definition). Then any formal power series $\tau(x)$, $x=(x_1,x_3,\cdots)$ can be expanded using $\{Q_\lambda(x)\}$ (see appendix C):

$$\tau(x)=\sum_{\lambda}\xi_\lambda Q_\lambda\left(\frac{x}{2}\right),\ \xi_\lambda=Q_\lambda(\tilde{\partial})\tau(x)|_{x=0}.$$

---

*e-mail: yoko.shigyo@gmail.com

The main result of this paper is to prove that $\tau(x)$ with $\tau(0) = 1$ is a solution of the BKP hierarchy if and only if the coefficients $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ satisfy Giambelli type formula:

$$\xi_\lambda = 2^{-n}\mathrm{Pf}\left(\xi_{(\lambda'_i,\lambda'_j)}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2n},$$

for any strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ where $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \cdots, \lambda'_{2n})$ is the partition corresponding to λ (see (23)). The notation $\mathrm{Pf}(a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 2n}$ denotes the Pfaffian of the determinant $A = (a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 2n}$.

Let us briefly explain how we prove the result. We first give an alternative proof in case of the KP hierarchy. We use the equivalence of the KP hierarchy and the addition formulae proved in [10, 11]. Since such equivalence is proved also for the BKP hierarchy [10], a similar proof can be applied to the case of the BKP hierarchy.

Recently Giambelli and Jacobi-Trudi type formulae for the expansion coefficients for solutions of the KP or the modified KP (mKP) hierarchies attract much attention in the study of solvable lattice models [1]. It is interesting to study applications of our results to solvable lattice models [5].

This paper consists of two sections and three appendices. In section 2, we consider Giambelli type formula in the KP hierarchy. We first review the KP hierarchy and the results related with the addition formulae in [10]. Using them we give the proof of the equivalence of Giambelli type formulae for $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ and the KP hierarchy. We consider the BKP hierarchy in section 3. We first introduce the Schur's Q-function in this section. Then we review the BKP hierarchy and the results in [10]. Finally we prove the main result in this paper. In Appendices A and B, necessary facts on fermions and the boson-fermion correspondence are given. In Appendix C, we prove that a formal power series of variables $(x_1, x_3, x_5, \cdots)$ can be expanded in terms of the Schur's Q-function.

2 KP hierarchy

2.1 Partitions

Let us begin by fixing notation which is used in this paper.

A sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ of non-increasing non-negative integers is called a partition. The non-zero λ_i are called the parts of λ. The number of parts is called the length of λ and is denoted by $l(\lambda)$. A partition λ can also be written using the Frobenius notation (see [6] for the precise definition):

$$\lambda = (k_1, \cdots, k_r | l_1, \cdots, l_r),$$

where $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 0$ and $l_1 > l_2 > \cdots > l_r \ge 0$.

2.2 The KP hierarchy

Set

$$[\alpha] = (\alpha, \frac{\alpha^2}{2}, \frac{\alpha^3}{3}, \cdots), \qquad \xi(x,k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n k^n, \qquad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots), \qquad y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \cdots).$$

The KP hierarchy [2] is a system of non-linear equations for a function $\tau(x)$ given by

$$\oint e^{-2\xi(y,k)}\tau(x - y - [k^{-1}])\tau(x + y + [k^{-1}])\frac{dk}{2\pi i} = 0, \tag{3}$$

where the integral means taking the coefficient of k^{-1} in the expansion of the integrand in the series of k.

We have the addition formulae for the tau-function of the KP hierarchy [9]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{i-1}\zeta(x;\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_{n-1},\alpha_i)\zeta(x;\alpha_1,\ldots,\hat{\alpha}_i,\ldots,\alpha_{n+1})=0,\qquad n\geq 2,\tag{4}$$

where

$$\zeta(x;\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)=\prod_{i<j}^{n}\alpha_{ij}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+\cdots+[\alpha_n]),\ \ \alpha_{ij}=\alpha_i-\alpha_j,$$

and $\hat{\alpha}_i$ denotes to remove α_i.

In the case of $n=2$, (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{12}\alpha_{34}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_2])\tau(x+[\alpha_3]+[\alpha_4])\\&-\alpha_{13}\alpha_{24}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_3])\tau(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_4])\\&+\alpha_{14}\alpha_{23}\tau(x+[\alpha_1]+[\alpha_4])\tau(x+[\alpha_2]+[\alpha_3])=0,\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

which is the simplest addition formula called the three term equation.

Using (5) we obtain the following determinant formula [10]:

$$\frac{\prod_{i<j}^{n}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i])=\tau(x)^{-n+1}\det\left(\frac{\tau(x+[\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq n},\tag{6}$$

for $n\geq 2$, where α_i and β_j are parameters.

The following theorem is proved in [11, 10].

Theorem 1 *Equation (5) is equivalent to the KP hierarchy.*

2.3 Giambelli type formula in the KP hierarchy

By Cauchy's identity (see [6] p.63 (4.3)), any formal power series $\tau(x)$ can be expanded as

$$\tau(x)=\sum_{\lambda}\xi_\lambda\chi_\lambda(x),\ \ \xi_\lambda\in\mathbb{C},\tag{7}$$

where $\chi_\lambda(x)$ is the Schur function defined, for any partition $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)$, as

$$\chi_\lambda(x)=\det\left(p_{\lambda_i-i+j}(x)\right)_{1\leq i,j\leq n},\quad e^{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}x_m z^m}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}p_k(x)z^k.$$

The coefficients $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ can be written as a derivative of $\tau(x)$:

$$\xi_\lambda=\chi_\lambda(\tilde{\partial})\tau(x)|_{x=0},\qquad \tilde{\partial}=(\tilde{\partial}_1,\tilde{\partial}_2,\tilde{\partial}_3,\cdots),\ \ \tilde{\partial}_n=\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}.\tag{8}$$

For convenience we extend the definition of $\chi_\lambda(x)$ to any sequence $\lambda=(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)$ of integers as follows.

We define $\chi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}=0$ if some k_i and l_j are negative, and $\chi_\lambda(x)$ is skew symmetric in $(k_1,\cdots,k_n)$ and $(l_1,\cdots,l_n)$ respectively.

The following theorem is shown in [3] using Sato's theory on the universal Grassmann manifold [9].

Theorem 2 *A formal power series $\tau(x)$ with $\tau(0) = 1$ is a solution of the KP hierarchy if and only if the coefficients $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ satisfy Giambelli type formula:*

$$\xi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)} = \det(\xi_{(k_i|l_j)})_{1\le i,j\le n}, \tag{9}$$

for any partition $(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)$.

Remark. There is a freedom to multiply the tau function of the KP hierarchy by constants. Thus, if $\tau(0) \neq 0$, we can always normalize $\tau(x)$ as $\tau(0) = 1$.

We prove this theorem directly from the equation (3) without using Sato's theory.

Lemma 1 *Suppose that parameters α_i, β_j satisfy $|\beta_i| < |\alpha_j|$ for any i and j. We have the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\prod_{i<j}^n \alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n(\beta_i - \alpha_j)} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi(x,\beta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \xi(x,\alpha_i)} \\ &= \sum_{k_i,l_j>0} (-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n} \chi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}(x)\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Proof. Consider the vertex operators [2] defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= e^{\xi(x,k)}e^{-\xi(\tilde\partial,k^{-1})}, \\ X^*(k) &= e^{-\xi(x,k)}e^{\xi(\tilde\partial,k^{-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

These vertex operators satisfy the following exchange relations:

$$\begin{aligned} X^*(k)X^*(l) &= (1-\frac{l}{k})e^{-\xi(x,k)-\xi(x,l)}e^{\xi(\tilde\partial,k^{-1})+\xi(\tilde\partial,l^{-1})}, \\ X(k)X(l) &= (1-\frac{l}{k})e^{\xi(x,k)+\xi(x,l)}e^{\xi(-\tilde\partial,k^{-1})-\xi(\tilde\partial,l^{-1})}, \\ X^*(k)X(l) &= (1-\frac{l}{k})^{-1}e^{-\xi(x,k)+\xi(x,l)}e^{\xi(\tilde\partial,k^{-1})-\xi(\tilde\partial,l^{-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|k| > |l|$.
Applying the vertex operators to 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &X^*(\alpha_1)\cdots X^*(\alpha_n)X(\beta_n)\cdots X(\beta_1)\cdot 1 \\ &= \prod_{i<j}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_i}{\beta_j}\right)\prod_{i,j=1}^n\left(1-\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_j}\right)^{-1} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n(\xi(x,\beta_i)-\xi(x,\alpha_i))}e^{\sum_{i=1}^n(-\xi(\tilde\partial,\beta_i^{-1})+\xi(\tilde\partial,\alpha_i^{-1}))}\cdot 1 \\ &= (-1)^n\frac{\prod_{i<j}^n \alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}\prod_{i=1}^n(\beta_i^{1-i}\alpha_i^i)e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \xi(x,\beta_i)-\sum_{i=1}^n \xi(x,\alpha_i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Notice that $1 = \langle 0|e^{H(x)}|0\rangle$ where $H(x)$ is given by (A.1). By the boson-fermion correspondence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&X^*(\alpha_1)\cdots X^*(\alpha_n)X(\beta_n)\cdots X(\beta_1)\langle 0|e^{H(x)}|0\rangle \\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i^{i-1}\beta_i^{1-i}\sum_{k_i,l_j\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle 0|e^{H(x)}\psi^*_{l_1}\cdots\psi^*_{l_n}\psi_{k_n}\cdots\psi_{k_1}|0\rangle\alpha_1^{-l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{-l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n} \\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i^{i}\beta_i^{1-i}\sum_{k_i,l_j\in\mathbb{Z}}\langle 0|e^{H(x)}\psi^*_{-l_1-1}\cdots\psi^*_{-l_n-1}\psi_{k_n}\cdots\psi_{k_1}|0\rangle)\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n} \qquad (12)\\
&=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i^{i}\beta_i^{1-i}\sum_{k_i,l_j>0}(-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n+n}\chi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}(x)\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n}. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

In deriving (13) from (12) we use (2.4.11) in [2]:

$$\chi_Y(x)=(-1)^{m_1+\cdots+m_k}\langle 0|e^{H(x)}\psi^*_{m_1}\cdots\psi^*_{m_k}\psi_{n_k}\cdots\psi_{n_1}|0\rangle, \qquad (14)$$

where $Y=(n_1,\cdots,n_k|-m_1-1,\cdots,-m_k-1)$ for $m_i<0\le n_j$. This Shur function (14) is skew symmetric in $(m_1,\cdots,m_k)$ and $(n_1,\cdots,n_2)$ respectively. Thus it satisfies the property of the extended Schur function. By (11) and (13), we obtain (10).□

Proof of Theorem 1.
Firstly we prove (9) for a solution $\tau(x)$ of the KP hierarchy with $\tau(0)=1$.

By Lemma 1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\prod_{i<j}^{n}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}\tau(x+\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]) \\
&=\frac{\prod_{i<j}^{n}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi(\tilde\partial,\beta_i)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi(\tilde\partial,\alpha_i)}\tau(x) \\
&=\sum(-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n}\chi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n}. \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $n=1$ case of (15) gives

$$\frac{\tau(x+[\beta]-[\alpha])}{\beta-\alpha}=\sum(-1)^l\chi_{(k|l)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)\alpha^l\beta^k. \qquad (16)$$

Substituting (15) and (16) to (6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum(-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n}\chi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n} \\
&=\tau(x)^{-n+1}\sum(-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n}\det\left(\chi_{(k_i|l_j)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$\chi_{(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)=\tau(x)^{-n+1}\det\left(\chi_{(k_i|l_j)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}. \qquad (17)$$

Setting $x=0$ in (17) and using (8) we get (9).

Conversely we show that $\tau(x)$ given by (7) with $\tau(0)=1$ is a solution of the KP hierarchy if $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ satisfy (9).

For $\lambda=(k_1,\cdots,k_n|l_1,\cdots,l_n)$, substitute the expression ξ_λ of (8) to (9) and we get

$$\chi_\lambda(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)|_{x=0}=\det\left(\chi_{(k_i|l_j)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)|_{x=0}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}.$$

For parameters α_i, β_j, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k_i,l_j>0}(-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n}\chi_\lambda(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)|_{x=0}\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n}\\
&=\sum_{k_i,l_j>0}(-1)^{l_1+\cdots+l_n}\det\left(\chi_{(k_i|l_j)}(\tilde\partial)\tau(x)|_{x=0}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}\alpha_1^{l_1}\cdots\alpha_n^{l_n}\beta_1^{k_1}\cdots\beta_n^{k_n}. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we recall that (15) is valid for an arbitrary formal power series $\tau(x)$ not necessarily a solution of the KP hierarchy. Then using (15) and (16), (18) becomes

$$
\frac{\prod_{i<j}\alpha_{ij}\beta_{ji}}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(\beta_i-\alpha_j)}\tau(\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\beta_i]-\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i])=\det\left(\frac{\tau([\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}. \qquad (19)
$$

Let us consider the $\infty\times n$ matrix $A=\left(\frac{\tau([\beta_i]-[\alpha_j])}{\beta_i-\alpha_j}\right)_{1\le i,\ 1\le j\le n}$ and the Plücker relations for minor determinants of this matrix. They are

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(-1)^{i-1}\Delta(K_i)\Delta(L_i)\tau(\sum_{r\in K_i}[\beta_r]-\sum_{s=1}^{n}[\alpha_s])\tau(\sum_{r\in L_i}[\beta_r]-\sum_{s=1}^{n}[\alpha_s])=0, \qquad (20)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K_i=(k_1,\cdots,k_{n-1},l_i),\ \ L_i=(l_1,\cdots,\hat{l}_i,\cdots,l_{n+1}),\\
&\Delta(k_1,\cdots,k_n)=\prod_{i<j}^{n}(\beta_{k_i}-\beta_{k_j}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we consider K_i as $\{k_1,\cdots,k_{n-1},l_i\}$ and L_i as $\{l_1,\cdots,\hat{l}_i,\cdots,l_{n+1}\}$ as sets if they appear in the summation symbols.

Set

$$
l_{n+1}=k_1,l_n=k_2,l_{n-1}=k_3,\cdots,l_4=k_{n-2},
$$

then we have

$$
\Delta(K_4)=\Delta(K_5)=\cdots=\Delta(K_{n+1})=0.
$$

Setting $\tilde{x}=(\tilde{x}_1,\tilde{x}_2,\cdots)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\alpha_i]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(K_1)\Delta(L_1')\tau(\tilde{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[\beta_{k_i}]+[\beta_{l_1}])\tau(\tilde{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}[\beta_{k_i}]+[\beta_{l_2}]+[\beta_{l_3}])\\
&-\Delta(K_2)\Delta(L_2')\tau(\tilde{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[\beta_{k_i}]+[\beta_{l_2}])\tau(\tilde{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}[\beta_{k_i}]+[\beta_{l_1}]+[\beta_{l_3}])\\
&+\Delta(K_3)\Delta(L_3')\tau(\tilde{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[\beta_{k_i}]+[\beta_{l_3}])\tau(\tilde{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}[\beta_{k_i}]+[\beta_{l_1}]+[\beta_{l_2}])=0, \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_i'=(l_1,\cdots,\hat{l}_i,\cdots,l_3,k_{n-2},\cdots,k_1)$. Note that $-k\tilde{x}_k=\alpha_1^k+\cdots+\alpha_n^k$ is a power sum symmetric function and $\tilde{x}_1,\cdots,\tilde{x}_n$ are algebraically independent. Since n is arbitrary, (21) is valid if $\tilde{x}$ is replaced by an indeterminate x by a similar arguments in [10]. Shifting x to $x-\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}[\beta_{k_i}]$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta_{k_{n-1}l_1}\beta_{l_2l_3}\tau(x+[\beta_{k_{n-1}}]+[\beta_{l_1}])\tau(x+[\beta_{l_2}]+[\beta_{l_3}])\\
&-\beta_{k_{n-1}l_2}\beta_{l_1l_3}\tau(x+[\beta_{k_{n-1}}]+[\beta_{l_2}])\tau(x+[\beta_{l_1}]+[\beta_{l_3}])\\
&+\beta_{k_{n-1}l_3}\beta_{l_1l_2}\tau(x+[\beta_{k_{n-1}}]+[\beta_{l_3}])\tau(x+[\beta_{l_1}]+[\beta_{l_2}])=0, \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the three term equation (5). By Theorem 1 (22) is equivalent to the KP hierarchy. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.□

3 The BKP hierarchy

3.1 Schur's Q-function

Let us consider the Schur's Q-function before talking about the BKP hierarchy. The Schur's Q-function is defined for strict partitions. A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ is strict if parts of λ are distinct and positive, namely $\lambda_1 > \cdots \lambda_l > 0$.

For a strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ we set

$$\lambda' = \begin{cases} (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l, 0), & \text{if } l \text{ is odd,} \\ (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l), & \text{if } l \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

Then the length of λ' is always even.

For a non-negative integer r define the symmetric polynomial q_r of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_N)$ by

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} q_r t^r = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1 + t\alpha_i}{1 - t\alpha_i}.$$

For $r > s \geq 0$, we set

$$Q^{sym}_{(r,s)} = q_r q_s + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^i q_{r+i} q_{s-i}.$$

If $r < s$, we define $Q^{sym}_{(r,s)}$ as

$$Q^{sym}_{(r,s)} = -Q^{sym}_{(s,r)}.$$

For any strict partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$, the Schur's Q-function is defined by

$$Q^{sym}_{\lambda} = \mathrm{Pf}\left(Q^{sym}_{(\lambda'_i, \lambda'_j)}\right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n},$$

where $\mathrm{Pf}(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$ denotes the Pfaffian of $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2n}$. We set $x_i = (\alpha_1^i + \cdots + \alpha_N^i)/i,\ N \geq |\lambda|$. It is known that Q^{sym}_{λ} can uniquely be expressed as a polynomial of $x = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \cdots)$. We denote this polynomial by $Q_\lambda(x)$. Then we have the relation

$$Q^{sym}_{\lambda}(x) = Q_\lambda(x), \ \ x_i = \frac{\alpha_1^i + \cdots + \alpha_N^i}{i}.$$

We can expand any formal power series $\tau(x)$ of $x = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \cdots)$ as follows (see appendix C):

$$\tau(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \xi_\lambda Q_\lambda(\frac{x}{2}), \tag{24}$$

$$\xi_\lambda = 2^{-l(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(\tilde{\partial}) \tau(x)|_{x=0}, \tag{25}$$

where λ runs over all strict partitions.

Let us extend the definition of $Q_\lambda(x)$ to any sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)$ of integers as follows.

We define $Q_{(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)}(x) = 0$ of some λ_i is negative, and

$$Q_{(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_l)}(x) = sgn\sigma Q_{(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{\sigma(l)})}(x)$$

if $(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_{\sigma(l)})$ is a strict partition for some permutation σ.

3.2 The BKP hierarchy

Set

$$[\alpha]_o = (\alpha, \frac{\alpha^3}{3}, \frac{\alpha^5}{5}, \cdots), \qquad \tilde{\xi}(x,k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{2n-1} k^{2n-1}, \qquad x = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \cdots), \qquad y = (y_1, y_3, y_5, \cdots).$$

We define the BKP hierarchy [2] by

$$\oint e^{-2\tilde{\xi}(y,k)} \tau(x - y - 2[k^{-1}]_o) \tau(x + y + 2[k^{-1}]_o) \frac{dk}{2\pi i k} = \tau(x-y)\tau(x+y).$$

Define the components of the skew symmetric matrices $A = (a_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n}$ and $A' = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ by

$$a_{0,j} = \tau(x + 2[\alpha_j]_o), \qquad a_{i,j} = \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}} \tau(x + 2[\alpha_i]_o + 2[\alpha_j]_o),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$. The addition formulae of the BKP hierarchy [10] are, for n odd,

$$\tau(x + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\alpha_i]_o) = \tau(x)^{(-n+1)/2} A_{1,2,\cdots,n} \mathrm{Pf} A, \tag{26}$$

and, for n even,

$$\tau(x + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\alpha_i]_o) = \tau(x)^{(-n+2)/2} A_{1,2,\cdots,n} \mathrm{Pf} A', \tag{27}$$

where

$$A_{1\ldots n} = \prod_{i<j}^{n} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}}{\alpha_{ij}}.$$

The following theorem is proved in [10].

Theorem 3 *The case $n = 3$ of (26)*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{123}^{-1} \tau(x) \tau(x + 2\sum_{i=1}^{3} [\alpha_i]_o) = & \frac{\alpha_{23}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{23}} \tau(x + 2[\alpha_1]_o) \tau(x + 2[\alpha_2]_o + 2[\alpha_3]_o) \\ & - \frac{\alpha_{13}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{13}} \tau(x + 2[\alpha_2]_o) \tau(x + 2[\alpha_1]_o + 2[\alpha_3]_o) \\ & + \frac{\alpha_{12}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{12}} \tau(x + 2[\alpha_3]_o) \tau(x + 2[\alpha_1]_o + 2[\alpha_2]_o) \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

is equivalent to the BKP hierarchy. Equation (28) is called the four term equation.

3.3 Giambelli type formula in the BKP hierarchy

Our main theorem is

Theorem 4 *A formal power series $\tau(x)$ with $\tau(0) = 1$ is a solution of the BKP hierarchy if and only if the coefficients $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ in (24) satisfy*

$$\xi_\lambda = 2^{-n} \mathrm{Pf} \left(\xi_{(\lambda'_i, \lambda'_j)} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le 2n}, \tag{29}$$

for any strict partition λ, where $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \cdots, \lambda'_{2n})$ is defined by (23).

Lemma 2 *We have the following equation:*

$$A^{-1}_{1\cdots 2n} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\sum_{k:odd} x_k \alpha_i^k} = \sum_{\lambda_i>0} Q_{(\lambda_1\cdots,\lambda_{2n})}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}}, \tag{30}$$

where parameters $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{2n}$ *satisfy* $|\alpha_1| > \cdots > |\alpha_{2n}|$.

Proof. Let

$$X_B(k) = e^{\sum_{n:odd} x_n k^n} e^{-2\sum_{n:odd}\tilde{\partial}_n k^{-n}}.$$

We apply this vertex operator to $1 = \langle 0|e^{H_B(x)}|0\rangle$.

First, the exchange rule of the vertex operators is

$$X_B(k)X_B(l) = \frac{k-l}{k+l} e^{\sum x_n k^n + \sum x_n l^n} e^{-2\sum \tilde{\partial}_n k^{-n} - 2\sum \tilde{\partial}_n k^{-n}}. \tag{31}$$

Then we have

$$X_B(\alpha_1)\cdots X_B(\alpha_{2n})\cdot 1 = \prod_{i<j}\frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\sum_{k:odd} x_k \alpha_i^k}. \tag{32}$$

By the boson-fermion correspondence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_B(\alpha_1)\cdots X_B(\alpha_{2n})\langle 0|e^{H_B(x)}|0\rangle &= 2^n \langle 0|e^{H_B(x)}\phi(\alpha_1)\cdots\phi(\alpha_{2n})|0\rangle \\ &= 2^n \langle 0|e^{H_B(x)}\phi_{\lambda_1}\cdots\phi_{\lambda_{2n}}|0\rangle \alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}} \qquad (33) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_i>0} Q_{(\lambda_1\cdots,\lambda_{2n})}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}}, \qquad (34) \end{aligned}$$

where $H_B(x)$ is defined in (B.1). We get (34) from (33) using (1.1.24) of [8] (see also [2, 12]):

$$\langle 0|e^{H_B(t)}\phi_{n_1}\cdots\phi_{n_k}|0\rangle = 2^{-k/2} Q_\lambda\left(\frac{t}{2}\right), \tag{35}$$

where $\lambda = (n_1, \cdots, n_k)$. This function (35) is skew symmetric. Thus it satisfies the property of the extended Schur's Q-function. By (32) and (34), we have (30).□

Proof of Theorem 2. The way to prove this theorem is similar to the case of the KP hierarchy. We shall prove that $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ satisfy (29) if $\tau(x)$ is a solution of BKP heirarchy. We consider (27) with n replaced by $2n$, $n \geq 2$.

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1}_{1\cdots 2n}\tau(x + 2\sum_{i=1}^{2n}[\alpha_i]_o) &= A^{-1}_{1\cdots 2n} e^{\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\tilde{\xi}(2\tilde{\partial}_o,\alpha_i)}\tau(x) \\ &= \sum Q_{(\lambda_1\cdots,\lambda_{2n})}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}}, \qquad (36) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\partial}_o = (\tilde{\partial}_1, \tilde{\partial}_3, \tilde{\partial}_5, \cdots)$.

The case of $n = 1$ in (36) is

$$\frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}}\tau(x + 2[\alpha_i]_o + 2[\alpha_j]_o) = \sum Q_{(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)\alpha_i^{\lambda_i}\alpha_j^{\lambda_j}. \tag{37}$$

Substituting (36) and (37) to (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum Q_{(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2n})}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}} \\
&= \tau(x)^{-n+1}\mathrm{Pf}\left(\sum Q_{(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}(\tilde{\partial} o)\tau(x)\alpha_i^{\lambda_i}\alpha_j^{\lambda_j}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2n} \\
&= \tau(x)^{-n+1}\sum \mathrm{Pf}\left(Q_{(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2n}\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of $\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}}$, $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_{2n}$, it follows that

$$Q_{(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2n})}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x) = \tau(x)^{-n+1}\mathrm{Pf}\left(Q_{(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2n} \tag{38}$$

We expand $\tau(x)$ as in (24), the coefficient ξ_λ becomes (25). Setting $x = 0$ in (38) and using (25) we have (29).

Conversely we show that $\tau(x)$ given by (24) is a solution of the BKP hierarchy if $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ satisfy (29). By (24), the coefficients $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ are defined as (25).

Substitute (25) to (29) and take the generating function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\lambda_i\ge 0} Q_{(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2n})}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)|_{x=0}\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda_i\ge 0}\mathrm{Pf}\left(Q_{(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}(\tilde{\partial}_o)\tau(x)|_{x=0}\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2n}\alpha_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots\alpha_{2n}^{\lambda_{2n}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Using (36) and the case $n = 1$ of (36), (39) becomes

$$A^{-1}_{1\cdots 2n}\tau(2\sum_{i=1}^{2n}[\alpha_i]_o) = \mathrm{Pf}\left(\frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}}\tau(2[\alpha_i]_o + 2[\alpha_j]_o)\right)_{1\le i,j\le 2n}. \tag{40}$$

Consider the Plücker relation for the Pfaffians [4, 7] of (40). Then for the odd numbers L and K, the following addition formulae hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{l=1}^{L}(-1)^l A^{-1}_{i_1,\cdots,i_K,j_l}A^{-1}_{j_1,\cdots,\hat{j}_l,\cdots,j_L}\tau(2\sum_{r=1}^{K}[\alpha_{i_r}]_o + 2[\alpha_{j_l}]_o)\tau(2\sum_{s=1,s\ne l}^{L}[\alpha_{j_s}]_o) \\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{K}(-1)^k A^{-1}_{i_1,\cdots,\hat{i}_k,\cdots,i_K}A^{-1}_{j_1,\cdots,j_L,i_k}\tau(2\sum_{r=1,r\ne k}^{K}[\alpha_{i_r}]_o)\tau(2\sum_{s=1}^{L}[\alpha_{j_s}]_o + 2[\alpha_{i_k}]_o) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

We consider the case of $L = K$ and set

$$j_L = i_1,\, j_{L-1} = i_2,\, j_{L-2} = i_3, \cdots, j_3 = i_{L-2}.$$

Setting $\tilde{x} = 2\sum_{r=1}^{L-2}[\alpha_{i_r}]_o$ and replacing (j_1, j_2, i_{L-1}, i_L) by $(1, 2, L-1, L)$, (41) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\alpha_{L-1,1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L-1,1}}\frac{\alpha_{L,1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L,1}}\frac{\alpha_{L-1,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L-1,L}}\tau(\tilde{x} - 2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o + 2[\alpha_L]_o + 2[\alpha_1]_o)\tau(\tilde{x} + 2[\alpha_2]_o) \\
&-\frac{\alpha_{L-1,2}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L-1,2}}\frac{\alpha_{L,2}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L,2}}\frac{\alpha_{L-1,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L-1,L}}\tau(\tilde{x} - 2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o + 2[\alpha_L]_o + 2[\alpha_2]_o)\tau(\tilde{x} + 2[\alpha_1]_o) \\
&+\frac{\alpha_{1,2}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,2}}\frac{\alpha_{1,L-1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,L-1}}\frac{\alpha_{2,L-1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{2,L-1}}\tau(\tilde{x} + 2[\alpha_L]_o)\tau(\tilde{x} + 2[\alpha_1]_o + 2[\alpha_2]_o - 2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o) \\
&-\frac{\alpha_{1,2}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,2}}\frac{\alpha_{1,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,L}}\frac{\alpha_{2,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{2,L}}\tau(\tilde{x} - 2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o)\tau(\tilde{x} + 2[\alpha_L]_o + 2[\alpha_1]_o + 2[\alpha_2]_o) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Equation (42) is valid if $\tilde{x}$ is replaced by an indeterminate x. Shift x to $x + 2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o$, then (42) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & A^{-1}_{1,2,L-1,L}\tau(x)\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_L]_o+2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o) \\ & = \frac{\alpha_{1,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,L}}\frac{\alpha_{2,L-1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{2,L-1}}\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_L]_o)\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o) \\ & -\frac{\alpha_{2,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{2,L}}\frac{\alpha_{1,L-1}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,L-1}}\tau(x+2[\alpha_2]_o+2[\alpha_L]_o)\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o) \\ & +\frac{\alpha_{L-1,L}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{L-1,L}}\frac{\alpha_{1,2}}{\tilde{\alpha}_{1,2}}\tau(x+2[\alpha_{L-1}]_o+2[\alpha_L]_o)\tau(x+2[\alpha_1]_o+2[\alpha_2]_o). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Setting $\alpha_L = 0$ we have the four term equation (28). By theorem 3 $\tau(x)$ is a solution of the BKP hierarchy.

A The free fermions

In the appendices we summarize necessary facts on fermions and the boson-fermion correspondence following [2]. Let ψ_n and ψ_n^* satisfy the following anti-commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &[\psi_n, \psi_m]_+ = [\psi_n^*, \psi_m^*]_+ = 0, \\ &[\psi_n, \psi_m^*]_+ = \delta_{nm}. \end{aligned}$$

The vacuum state $|0\rangle$ and the dual vacuum state $\langle 0|$ have the properties

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_n|0\rangle = 0, \ \ n<0, \quad \psi_n^*|0\rangle = 0, \ \ n \geq 0, \\ &\langle 0|\psi_n = 0, \ \ n \geq 0, \quad \langle 0|\psi_n^* = 0, \ \ n<0. \end{aligned}$$

We use the generating series of free fermionic operators

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k z^k, \quad \psi^*(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k^* z^{-k}.$$

Let $H(x)$ be defined by

$$H(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} x_l \psi_n \psi_{n+l}^*. \tag{A.1}$$

Then the boson-fermion correspondence is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle m|e^{H(x)}\psi(k) = k^{m-1}X(k)\langle m-1|e^{H(x)}, \\ &\langle m|e^{H(x)}\psi^*(k) = k^{-m}X^*(k)\langle m+1|e^{H(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

B The neutral fermions

Let us consider ϕ_n satisfying

$$[\phi_m, \phi_n]_+ = (-1)^m \delta_{m,-n}.$$

We have the properties of the vacuum state and the dual vacuum state:

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_n|0\rangle = 0, \ \ n<0, \\ &\langle 0|\phi_n = 0, \ \ n>0. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = 0$, we have $\phi_0^2 = 1/2$.
Set $H_B(x)$ by

$$H_B(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l:odd} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n+1} x_l \phi_n \phi_{-n-l}. \tag{B.1}$$

The following the boson-fermion correspondence is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0|\phi_0 e^{H_B(x)} \phi(k) &= 2^{-1} X_B(k) \langle 0| e^{H_B(x)}, \\ \langle 0| e^{H_B(x)} \phi(k) &= X_B(k) \langle 0|\phi_0 e^{H_B(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

C The proof of (24)

The expansion (24) can be proved easily. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots)$ we have

$$\prod_{i,j} \frac{1 + \alpha_i \beta_j}{1 - \alpha_i \beta_j} = \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} 2^{-l(\lambda)} Q_\lambda^{sym}(\alpha) Q_\lambda^{sym}(\beta)$$

from [6] p.255 (8.13). The left hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 + \alpha_i \beta_j}{1 - \alpha_i \beta_j} &= e^{\sum_{i,j} (\log(1+\alpha_i\beta_j) - \log(1-\alpha_i\beta_j))} \\ &= e^{\sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\alpha_i\beta_j)^k}{k} - \frac{(-\alpha_i\beta_j)^k}{k} \right)} \\ &= e^{2 \sum_{k:\text{odd}} k \sum_i \frac{\alpha_i^k}{k} \sum_j \frac{\beta_j^k}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$x_k = \sum_i \frac{\alpha_i^k}{k}, \qquad y_k = \sum_j \frac{\beta_i^k}{k}.$$

Then we have

$$e^{2 \sum_{k:odd} k x_k y_k} = \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} 2^{-l(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(x) Q_\lambda(y).$$

Replace x_k by $x_k/2$ and y_k by $\tilde{\partial}_{y_k} = \partial_{y_k}/k$. We apply it to $f(y)$ and set $y = 0$. Then we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} 2^{-l(\lambda)} Q_\lambda \left(\frac{x}{2} \right) \left(Q_\lambda(\tilde{\partial}_y) f(y) \right) |_{y=0}.$$

Setting $\xi_\lambda = \left(Q_\lambda(\tilde{\partial}_y) f(y) \right) |_{y=0}$, we obtain (24).

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Takashi Takebe for several comments. I also thank Hirofumi Yamada for his interest in my work. Finally I am deeply grateful to Atsushi Nakayashiki for much advice. This work was supported by Japanese Association of University Woman JAUW.

References

[1] A. Alexandrov, V. Kazakov, S. Leurent, Z. Tsuboi and A. Zabrodin, Classical tau-function for quantum spin chains, JHEP 1309 (2013) 064.

[2] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation group for soliton equations, Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory-, Ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa (World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1983).

[3] V. Enolski and J. Harnad, Schur function expansions of KP tau functions associated to algebraic curves, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **66:4** (2011) 137-178.

[4] R. Hirota, The Direct Method in Soliton Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2004 (Cambridge tracts in mathematics:155).

[5] A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi and J. Suzuki, T-systems and Y-systems in integrable systems, J. Phys. A44:103001, 2011.

[6] I.G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, second edition, Oxford University Press, 1995.

[7] Y. Ohta, Soliton theory of Pfaffian version, RIMS Kokyuroku, Kyoto Univ. 822 (1993) 197-205

[8] A. Yu. Orlov, Hypergeometric functions related to Schur Q-polynomials and BKP equation, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, vol.137, Issue 2,pp 1574-1589.

[9] M. Sato and Y. Sato, Soliton equationsas dynamical systems in an infinite dimensional Grassmannian manifold, in H. Fujita, P.D. Lax and G. Strang (ed.), *Nonlinear PDE in Applied Science*, Lecture Notes in Numerical Analysis vol. 5 (Kinokuniya, 1982), 259-271.

[10] Y. Shigyo, On addition formulae of KP, mKP and BKP hierarchies, SIGMA 9 (2013), 035, 16 pages.

[11] K. Takasaki and T. Takebe, Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit, Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995), 743-808.

[12] Y.C. You, Polynomial solutions of the BKP hierarchy and projective representations of symmetric groups, in infinite dimensional Lie algebrasa and groups, Adv. Ser. in Math. Phys. 7, World sci.1989, 449-466.